



King's Research Portal

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Slayne, M. A., & Wade, W. G. (1994). The Humoral Immune Response to Asaccharolytic Eubacterium Species in Periodontitis. *MICROBIAL ECOLOGY IN HEALTH AND DISEASE*, 7, 283-286.
<http://www.microbecolhealthdis.net/index.php/mehd/article/view/8297>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

The Humoral Immune Response to Asaccharolytic *Eubacterium* Species in Periodontitis

M. A. SLAYNE and W. G. WADE*

Departments of Periodontology and Oral Surgery, Medicine and Pathology, Dental School, Heath Park, Cardiff, UK

Received 31 March 1994; revised 10 June 1994

Asaccharolytic *Eubacterium* species are strongly associated with advanced periodontal disease. Raised systemic antibody levels to *Eubacterium brachy*, *E. nodatum* and *E. timidum* have been found in periodontitis patients compared to healthy controls using ELISA and RIA. This study compared antibody profiles in periodontitis patients and controls against oral asaccharolytic *Eubacterium* species by Western blotting. Whole-cell proteins from strains of *E. brachy*, *E. nodatum*, *E. timidum* and representative strains of five candidate species were separated using PhastSystem SDS-PAGE. The proteins were electroblotted onto nitrocellulose and probed with 23 sera from periodontitis patients and 23 from periodontally healthy age- and sex-matched controls. Antibodies were present to proteins of all strains except *E. nodatum* but there was no relationship between patterns of antigen recognition and periodontal status.

KEY WORDS—*Eubacterium*; Antibodies; Sera.

INTRODUCTION

The slow growth and oxygen sensitivity of the oral asaccharolytic *Eubacterium* species has hindered the determination of their significance in periodontal disease.² They are found in numbers in advanced periodontal disease and are only rarely detected in oral health.^{4–7,9} There are currently three named species in the group: *Eubacterium brachy*, *E. nodatum* and *E. timidum*. However, a number of additional distinct taxa have been recognised.^{4–7,9,12}

In addition to the numerical association noted above, antibody levels to *E. brachy*, *E. nodatum* and *E. timidum* have been shown to be raised in patients with periodontitis compared to controls.^{3,8} *E. brachy*, in particular, exhibits an immunoreactive antigen of molecular weight 170 000 which has been suggested as a possible virulence factor.^{10,11} In the study of other organisms, specific determination of the protein components reactive with patient antibodies has been shown to be

useful in the characterisation of discriminatory markers, notably antibody to the 47-kDa protein of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* which is present in patients and absent in controls.¹

The purpose of this study was to compare the immune response to the oral asaccharolytic *Eubacterium* species in patients with periodontal disease and healthy controls using Western blotting and immunostaining.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The type strains of *E. brachy* (ATCC 33089), *E. nodatum* (ATCC 33099) and *E. timidum* (ATCC 33093) and strains W1365, W1471, 93G, 3D and 26 were grown in anaerobic conditions for 48 h on Fastidious Anaerobe Agar (FAA, Lab M, Bury, UK). Sample preparation was optimised to yield the maximum number of protein bands without overloading of the gel; method development has been described previously.¹² Briefly, organisms were harvested and diluted 1 in 15 (w/v) with sample-dissociating buffer containing 10 per cent SDS/25 per cent 2- β -mercaptoethanol, followed by vigorous shaking with glass beads and centrifugation (MicroSpin 12, Sorvall Instruments, DuPont,

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: Division of Oral Medicine, Pathology and Microbiology, Department of Oral and Dental Science, Dental School, Lower Maudlin Street, Bristol BS1 2LY, UK.

Stevenage, UK) at 13 000 *g* to provide a solubilised bacterial protein supernatant. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE with the PhastSystem (Pharmacia-LKB, Uppsala, Sweden) and 10–15 per cent gradient SDS-polyacrylamide gels. The separation programme was as previously described.¹² Immediately following separation the gels were PhastTransfer (Pharmacia-LKB) electroblotted onto nitrocellulose membranes. Sera were obtained from 23 patients with advanced, chronic and untreated periodontitis (minimum of six sites bleeding on probing and pocketing >4 mm) and 23 healthy age- and sex-matched controls (no bleeding on probing, no pockets >3 mm). The nitrocellulose blots were blocked against non-specific binding in 5 per cent bovine albumin, fraction V (BA) (BDH, Poole, Dorset, UK) in phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.2 (PBS) for 1 h. The blots were incubated with agitation in 5 ml 1/20 sera in 1 per cent BA in PBS for 1 h and were washed in 0.1 per cent Tween 20 in PBS for 4 × 5 min followed by 5 min in PBS. The serum dilution used was determined in pilot studies and was chosen to optimise antigen recognition without non-specific binding. Blots were incubated in 30 ml 1/1000 goat anti-human IgG alkaline phosphatase conjugate (Sigma Chemical Co., Poole, Dorset, UK) in 1 per cent BA in PBS for 1 h with agitation. The blots were again washed. Fast red TR salt (Sigma) in conjunction with naphthol AS-MX phosphate (Sigma) was used as substrate⁸ allowing visualisation of red-stained antibody profiles. Antibody profiles were scanned in a Chromoscan 3 densitometer (Joyce-Loebl) in reflection mode using a 530 nm filter and an aperture of 0.05 × 1.5 mm. Peak heights >5 mm above background were considered to be significant and the number of sera giving peaks noted. The numbers of sera from periodontal disease and control patients exhibiting antibody to given antigens were compared by the Chi-squared test with Yates' correction.

RESULTS

The results are shown in Table 1. No antibodies were detectable to *E. nodatum* ATCC 33099 while 14 antigens from strain 93G were detected. The antibody response was extremely diverse with the majority of antigens being recognised by only a small proportion of sera. There was a significant difference in the numbers of sera reacting to the 16-kDa antigen of strain 93G but only half of the

disease sera were positive. Given the number of comparisons performed here it is likely that this difference may have arisen by chance. There was therefore no difference between the patterns of immunodominant antigen recognition between patient and control.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies have shown raised antibodies to *E. brachy*, *E. nodatum* and *E. timidum* in patients with advanced periodontal disease compared to controls,^{3,8} although by quantitative methods. This study has demonstrated no qualitative differences in systemic antibody response which could be used as markers of past or current disease. However, serum levels do not necessarily correlate with those found in gingival tissue which may be a more accurate reflection of the host response to periodontal bacteria.³ It would have been desirable to compare the levels of response to each antigen in patients and controls. However, so few of either patients or controls demonstrated levels above threshold for any given antigen, this would have been statistically invalid.

The amount and diversity of the immune response to the oral asaccharolytic *Eubacterium* species suggest cross-reactivity with other antigens. *Eubacterium* species found in the normal flora of the gut might have induced the stimulation of antibodies capable of cross-reacting with oral species. Further studies could include the pre-adsorption of antibodies from sera using other *Eubacterium* species.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Andrew Smith is gratefully thanked for the collection of sera.

REFERENCES

1. Duncan AJ, Wilton JMA, Curtis MA. (1989). *Bacteroides gingivalis*: recognition of a 47 kDa outer membrane protein by sera from patients with chronic periodontitis. *Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease* **2**, 293–296.
2. Hill GB, Ayers OM, Kohan AP. (1987). Characteristics and sites of infection of *Eubacterium nodatum*, *Eubacterium timidum*, *Eubacterium brachy*, and other asaccharolytic eubacteria. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **25**, 1540–1545.
3. Martin SA, Falkler WA, Vincent JW, Mackler BF, Suzuki JB. (1988). A comparison of the reactivity

- of *Eubacterium* species with localised and serum immunoglobulins from rapidly progressive and adult periodontitis. *Journal of Periodontology* **59**, 32–39.
4. Moore WEC, Holdeman LV, Cato EP, Good IJ, Smith EP, Ranney RR, Palcanis KG. (1984). Variation in periodontal floras. *Infection and Immunity* **46**, 720–726.
 5. Moore WEC, Holdeman LV, Cato EP, Smibert RM, Burmeister JA, Palcanis KG, Ranney RR. (1985). Comparative bacteriology of juvenile periodontitis. *Infection and Immunity* **48**, 507–519.
 6. Moore WEC, Holdeman LV, Cato EP, Smibert RM, Burmeister JA, Ranney RR. (1983). Bacteriology of moderate (chronic) periodontitis in mature adult humans. *Infection and Immunity* **42**, 510–515.
 7. Moore WEC, Holdeman LV, Smibert RM, Hash DE, Burmeister JA, Ranney RR. (1982). Bacteriology of severe periodontitis in young adult humans. *Infection and Immunity* **38**, 1137–1148.
 8. Tew JG, Marshall DR, Moore WEC, Best AM, Palcanis KG, Ranney RR. (1985). Serum antibody reactive with predominant organisms in the subgingival flora of young adults with generalized severe periodontitis. *Infection and Immunity* **48**, 303–311.
 9. Uematsu H, Hoshino E. (1992). Predominant obligate anaerobes in human periodontal pockets. *Journal of Periodontal Research* **27**, 15–19.
 10. Vincent JW, Falkler WA Jr, Dalessandro NF, Miller RA, Heath JR. (1985). Reaction of human serum with *Eubacterium brachy*: isolation and characterisation of an extracellular antigen. *Infection and Immunity* **47**, 592–597.
 11. Vincent JW, Falkler WA Jr, Heath JR III. (1984). *Eubacterium brachy*: reactivity in an *in vitro* bone resorptive bioassay. *Journal of Periodontology* **55**, 93–97.
 12. Wade WG, Slayne MA, Aldred MJ. (1990). Comparison of methods for identification of oral *Eubacterium* species. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* **33**, 239–242.